UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,493	11/25/2003	Manfred Rimkus	09038-US	6046
30689 DEERE & COM	7590 , 10/16/2007 MPANY	EXAMINER		
ONE JOHN DE	EERE PLACE	HAMO, F	HAMO, PATRICK	
MOLINE, IL 6	1265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

۱	J	
n		

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/721,493	RIMKUS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Patrick Hamo	3746			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ne 2007.				
/	•	action is non-final.				
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims		,			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-5 and 9 is/are pending in the application	ation.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
,	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9</u> is/are rejected.	·				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	TO-152.		
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
.,						

Application/Control Number: 10/721,493

Art Unit: 3746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to amendments filed on June 29, 2007.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takano et al., 5,867,996 in view of Suzuki et al., 6,742,350 and further in view of Hunt et al., 3,868,869.

Takano discloses a compressor control device including an engine 1, a motor 9 with a motor controller 18, a gearbox (the combination of 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 10), connected on its output side to a compressor 4 for compressing and discharging air and on its input side to the motor and engine, and sensors 20-22 and 26 for measuring the temperature of the air to be heated or cooled, sensor 24 measuring the vehicle velocity, the sensors inputting to electrical control unit 15 which outputs to the motor controller, and a further temperature setting input 23 for setting a target temperature. As noted in the previous action, in regards to the claimed limitation that the rotational speed of the drive engine can be varied, it is inherent that the engine cannot rotate forever, and that it can therefore be varied at least between on and off speeds.

Art Unit: 3746

Takano does not disclose the following claimed limitations: a free-wheeling device arranged between the auxiliary motor and the summing gearbox which absorbs a torque applied to the auxiliary motor, the drive arrangement further including at least one sensor for the measurement of the rotational speed of the drive engine, wherein the conveying performance of the conveying device can be controlled or regulated by controlling the auxiliary motor as a function of at least one magnitude of the condition of the medium and the rotational speed of the drive engine.

However, Suzuki teaches a hybrid compressor device with a compressor 130 driven by both an engine 10 and a motor 120, and an engine rotation speed sensor feeding into the control unit 160 (see fig. 1), whereby the operation of the motor is controlled by the control unit as a function of an air conditioning requirement signal, an engine speed rotational signal, and an evaporator temperature sensor (col. 6, Il. 28-49) so that the production cost and size of the compressor can be reduced and that a cooling function can be ensured even when the vehicle engine is stopped (Abstract, Il. 9-12).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the compressor control device of Takano with the control unit of Suzuki so that a cooling function can be ensured even the vehicle engine is stopped.

Furthermore, Hunt teaches a free-wheel 33 arranged between an engine 10 or motor and a gearbox 20, which absorbs a torque applied to the auxiliary motor and

Application/Control Number: 10/721,493

Art Unit: 3746

prevents rotation of the gearbox in a reverse direction thereby protecting the gearbox and the engine by prohibiting unwanted reverse rotation (col. 2, I. 66 – col. 3, I. 5).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the combined compressor control unit of Takano in view of Suzuki with the free-wheel of Hunt in order to protect the motor of Takano.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above in view of Sakai et al., 6,234,769.

The references as applied to claim 1 above teach all the limitations substantially as claimed except for the following: the summing gearbox is a planetary summing gearbox, wherein the drive engine is connected with an internal gear, the auxiliary motor is connected with a sun gear and the conveying device is connected with a planet carrier of the planetary summing gearbox.

Sakai teaches a hybrid compressor driven by an engine and a motor 100 (column 9, lines 11-15), a planetary gearbox 640 connected on its output side to a compressor 610 and on its input side to the motor and engine (see figure 8), the engine and motor connected to the sun gear and the compressor connected to the planet gears (see figures 8 and 10), and a one-way clutch so that the rotational driving force generated by the engine or motor is not transmitted from a shaft to a rotor and hence, the impact vibration is reduced (Abstract, II. 1-9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the references as applied to claim 1 above with the engine/motor driven compressor of Sakai to reduce impact vibrations.

In regards to the claimed limitation that the drive engine connected to the internal gear, the auxiliary motor connected to the sun gear, and the compressor connected with a planet carrier of the planetary gearbox, this is a mere rearrangement of parts with respect to the invention of Sakai et al., and would not have substantially modified the operation of the device. Therefore, these limitations are held unpatentable. See MPEP §2144.04(6)(c).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above in view of Crook et al., 5,628,234.

The references as applied to claim 1 above teach all the limitations substantially as claimed except for the following: that a gear ratio stage is arranged between the drive engine and the drive engine side input of the summing gearbox arranged for a gear ratio increase or reduction or a reversal of the direction of rotation of the drive.

However, Crook teaches a gear ratio stage 110 between the motor 100 and transmission (see figure 1) that allows for gear reduction and reversal (column 2, lines 35-43).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the references as applied to claim 1 above to allow operation in either forward or reverse directions.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Hamo whose telephone number is 571-272-3492. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on 571-272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Devon Kramer Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3746

PH

DEVON C. KRAMER
PATENT EXAMINED

10/12/0